



SRS Rising Star Awards – Reviewer Score Card

Instructions: Reviewers should assess contributions and productivity broadly, taking into consideration a range of contributions including overall impacts (e.g., influence on policy and practice, health outcomes, societal outcomes, etc.). Journal-based metrics, such as Impact Factors should not be used as surrogate measures of the quality and impact of individual research contributions as scientific content is more important than publication metrics or the journal in which the research was published.

Final scores will be averaged across all reviewers, which will be used to rank nominees. The PDC will discuss the top 5 nominees in-person (i.e., virtually). Each nominee will be represented by a “champion” or “champion(s)” consisting of the reviewer(s) who ranked the nominee the highest.

Nominee:

Criteria	Things to consider: (non-exhaustive and non-ordered list)	Comments	Score 1 (exceptional) 9 (poor)
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Research Contributions</u> <i>(50% of final score)</i></p> <p>Quality of contributions (publications) and extent to which they advance the field of research (novelty & impact of contributions).</p> <p>Section should detail up to 5 of the nominee’s most important/impactful research contributions to the sleep and circadian field, including what the outcomes were and the overall influence of each contribution.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - nominee’s H-index. - number of citations; total citations. - overall demonstration of productivity and innovation in a sleep and circadian field. - impact on the sleep and circadian field (i.e., groundbreaking findings; changed treatment and/or clinical care?). - recognition as an expert in their research field (invited talks). - recognitions/awards related to their research contributions (e.g., editorials, editor’s choice, SRS OEIA, etc.) - extramural funding. 		
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Contributions to Advancing Sleep & Circadian Field</u> <i>(50% of final score; External to research publications)</i></p> <p>Professional, Academic and Extracurricular Activities & Collaborations</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -participation in science and/or research promotion -community outreach (volunteer work and/or civic engagement) - collaborations with members of the community - teaching, mentoring, supervising and/or coaching - participation in committees - conference and meeting organization -participating in organizations, associations, societies and/or clubs - contributions to public policy - clinical service (if applicable) 		
	<p>Final Score: (Research Contribution Score * 0.5) + Professional, Academic & Extracurricular activities Score * 0.5)</p>		



PDC Rising Star Reviewer Scoring Guidelines

For consistency in our scoring, please use this guide. Our goal is to acknowledge two up-and-coming SRS early career investigators and promising future leaders in sleep and circadian research who have made significant and sustained contributions of a basic, translational, clinical, or theoretical nature to the sleep and circadian research fields (i.e., Rising Stars).

As shown below “5” is a good score reflecting a strong nominee with an impressive body of Research and Professional, Academic and Extracurricular Activities contributions whereas a “1” reflects an exceptional body of Research and Professional, Academic and Extracurricular Activities contributions for being at an early stage of one’s research career.

On the scoring card, DO NOT summarize the nominee’s work. Rather, provide 3-5 bullet points to support your score for each section.

Award Scoring Guidelines		
Impact	Score	Descriptor
High	1	Exceptional
	2	Outstanding
	3	Excellent
Medium	4	Very Good
	5	Good
	6	Satisfactory
Low	7	Fair
	8	Marginal
	9	Poor